1/30/71

Dear Jim,

I'm pushing right along in preparing my answer in 2569-70. At the [illegible] an impressive response. But that is not what wins law suits, is it?

That thing Wordig filed is so totally dishonest it makes the Warren Report look like the Talmud!

I'm going to have some kind of formula for keeping the works brief, in consideration for the judge and the probable reluctance of all judges to read long papers. However, the documetation really can't be brief. I'm taking everything they say and comparing it with the original, to the point I've reached without a single slipup-all unfaithful!

There is an Gardleian consistance to it.

I know you are going to love some of it. Now the formulation, that is something else. About it I make no promises, and that is where I'll need help-and soon, too, if I'm to have it, for Lil will have only brief periods at night to type it. She has been working an 10-11 hour day and they'll not get shorter until after tax season.

I'd like the Skelley Wright decision, if you get any. But not is it has to be xeroxed, for that is too many pages.

Best,